

EXPLORATION OF SOME MEDICINAL PLANTS USED BY TRIBAL PEOPLE IN PALI REGION, KORBA DISTRICT OF CHHATTISGARH

*Bhagyawati Kanwar and Dr. Lata Sharma

Department of Botany, Dr. C.V. Raman University, Kargi Road Kota, Bilaspur (C.G.).

Article Received on
20 March 2019,

Revised on 10 April 2019,
Accepted on 01 May 2019,
DOI: 10.20959/wjpr20196-15058

*Corresponding Author

Bhagyawati Kanwar

Department of Botany, Dr.
C.V. Raman University,
Kargi Road Kota, Bilaspur
(C.G.).

ABSTRACT

This study deals about the medicinal herbs found in the Pali region of Korba district. The region has been selected because there is no systematic account of medicinal herbs in that particular region. The quadrate method has been used for sampling to understand the vegetation of medicinal plant of that region. Quadrate sampling leads to occurrence of 50 medicinal herbs with their frequency. Density and Abundance and also mentioned relative frequency, relative density and relative abundance and got IVI of all medicinal herbs found in the Pali region of Korba, Chhattisgarh.

KEYWORDS: Exploration, Quadrate method, medicinal plants, tribal people Pali, Korba, Chhattisgarh.

INTRODUCTION

Medicinal plants are enriched with many useful properties which do we in drug development we use that drug for the treatment of common ailments such as hypertension dysentery, constipation, diarrhoea, bronchial, stomach pain, asthma, fever, cold, cough etc. We have been using plant for medicinal purpose from ancient era. Every drugs made by extract of the plant whether it is Ayurvedic, Homeopathy or Allopathy are useful for the treatment of different diseases.

Chhattisgarh is an herbal state with enriched diversity of the plants species 44% of its geographic area covered with forest. Korba district situated between latitude 22°0' to 23°01' and longitude 85°08' to 83°09' of Chhattisgarh state. The research place Pali is historical place which reached floristic diversity of medicinal plants. The atmospheric pressure of that place is 1006 mbar (milibar), humidity is more than 75, situated in tropical climate are based

on monsoon. The significant tribes of the district are Gond, Korwa, Munda, Baiga etc. The life style of tribal people depends upon the any kind of livelihood.

Medicinal plants utility trends have been increased due to their much efficacy, safe mode for utilization and less side effect. Various parts of the medicinal plants are used for different purpose and also a source of economic growth to local peoples (Samant et. al. 1997). Some ethno botanical studies were made by Ayyanar and Ignacimuthu (2005), Balakrishnan et. al. (2009), Ignacimuthu et. al. (2006) and P.C Trivedi et. al.(2006).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The Study was carried out in the region of Pali of korba district. A quadrate is used in the specific of the plant communities to be sampled. That specific area are –

- Lowland area
- Hightide area
- Agriculture area
- Barren area
- Zonal area

Sampling unit of area was taken in the area of definite size. All the species occurring in each quadrate was noted and their numerical count was carried out to know about the plants species occurring in that area. The ethnomedicinal information was obtained from knowledgeable person, experienced people medicine men and heads and local inhabitants of the village, who have knowledge of plants for health and livelihood security (Sandey and Sharma, 2016). This study helps in identification and occurrence of dominant species in the area and also taken photographs and has been done in laboratory by literatures including flora/Encyclopaedia like De. L. C.(2005), Sharma R. (2003) Trivedi P.C. (2006) and Pullaiah, T. (2006), further confirmation was made with the help of experts of the field also.

Name of species and number of individual species in each unit are recorded and percentage frequency, density and abundance are calculated by the formula.

% frequency, density and abundance for different species can be worked out by the formula given below.

% frequency is the number of timer a plant species occur in a given number of quadrates. Frequency is usually expressed as a percentage and is some time called a frequency indicates

the probability of finding a species in a series of quadrates examined in an area of Interest. This is described as the % of Quadrates occupied by a given species.

$$\% \text{ frequency} = \frac{\text{No. of Quadrates in which it occurred}}{\text{Total No. of Quadrates studied}} \times 100$$

Density, the number of individuals of a species living in a particular area is the density of that population. Density is dependent upon many factors, such availability of food, water, light, heat etc. We can find one thousand or more grasses plants in square meter of well maintain lawn. This is described as the number of individuals per unit area.

$$D = \frac{\text{Total No. of individuals}}{\text{Total No. of Quadrates studied}}$$

Abundance in ecology, abundance is the relative representation of a species in a particular ecosystem. It is usually measured as the number of individuals found per Sample –

$$A = \frac{\text{Total No. of individuals}}{\text{No. of Quadrates of Occurrence}}$$

$$\text{Relative density} = \frac{\text{density of one species}}{\text{density of all species}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Relative frequency} = \frac{\text{frequency of one species}}{\text{frequency of all species}} \times 100$$

$$\text{Relative dominance} = \frac{\text{dominance (based area) of one species}}{\text{species}} \times 100 \text{ dominance of all}$$

$$\text{IVI} = \text{Relative density} + \text{Relative frequency} + \text{Relative dominance}.$$

RESULTS

On the basis of field survey on medicinal plants it has been found that 50 species under 15 families showed their presence in the campus which were collected identified, listed and recorded medicinal herbs from different areas. That plants deals with by using quadrat sampling method. The Plants are arranged following their Botanical name, family and table of frequency, Density and Abundance show in Table I.

| S.No. | Name of Plants Species | Family | Name of individuals in each Quadrat | | | | | | | | | | % Frequency | Density | Abundance |
|-------|---|---------------|-------------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-------------|---------|-----------|
| | | | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q5 | Q6 | Q7 | Q8 | Q9 | Q10 | | | |
| 1 | <i>Abutilon indicum</i> Linn. | Malvaceae | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 30% | 0.3 | 1.0 |
| 2 | <i>Achyranthus aspera</i> Linn. | Amaranthaceae | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 4 | 5 | - | - | - | 50% | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| 3 | <i>Ageratum conyzoides</i> Linn. | Asteraceae | 5 | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | 6 | - | - | 30% | 1.5 | 5.0 |
| 4 | <i>Alternanthera ficoidea</i> (L.)P.Beauv. | Amaranthaceae | 5 | - | 4 | - | 8 | - | - | 7 | - | 6 | 50% | 3.0 | 6.0 |
| 5 | <i>Argemone Maxicana</i> Linn. | Papaveraceae | 3 | 6 | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | 4 | - | 50% | 1.7 | 3.4 |
| 6 | <i>Blumea lacera</i> Linn. | Asteraceae | 6 | - | 4 | 1 | - | - | 8 | - | 1 | 2 | 50% | 2.1 | 4.2 |
| 7 | <i>Beorhavia diffusa</i> Linn. | Nyctaginaceae | 7 | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 30% | 1.3 | 4.3 |
| 8 | <i>Cassia tora</i> Linn. | Leguminosae | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | 30% | 0.4 | 1.3 |
| 9 | <i>Chenopodium album</i> Linn. | Amaranthaceae | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | 4 | 2 | 3 | - | - | 40% | 1.4 | 3.5 |
| 10 | <i>Chloris barbata</i> (L.)Sw. | Gramineae | 2 | 2 | - | - | 3 | - | 1 | - | - | - | 40% | 0.8 | 2.0 |
| 11 | <i>Croton bonplan dianum</i> Linn. | Euphorbiaceae | 1 | - | - | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | 40% | 0.5 | 1.2 |
| 12 | <i>Cynodon Dactylon</i> (L.)Pers. | Poaceae | 10 | 24 | 16 | 3 | 11 | 2 | | 2 | 5 | 8 | 90% | 8.1 | 9.0 |
| 13 | <i>Dactyloctenium aegyptium</i> Linn. | Poaceae | 5 | - | | 4 | 7 | - | - | 3 | - | - | 40% | 1.9 | 4.7 |
| 14 | <i>Desmodium triflorum</i> (L.)Dc | Fabaceae | 8 | - | 7 | - | 5 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 80% | 3.1 | 3.8 |
| 15 | <i>Digitoria sanguinalis</i> (L.)Scop. | Poaceae | 4 | - | - | - | 2 | - | 3 | - | - | - | 30% | 0.9 | 3.0 |
| 16 | <i>Echinochloa crus-galli</i> (L.)Beauv. | Poaceae | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | 4 | - | 5 | - | - | 40% | 1.6 | 4.0 |
| 17 | <i>Eleusin indica</i> (L.)Gaert n. | Poaceae | 2 | 1 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 2 | 60% | 1.9 | 3.1 |
| 18 | <i>Eragrostis amabilis</i> (L.)Wight & Arm. ex Nees | Poaceae | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 3 | 6 | - | 5 | 3 | 70% | 2.2 | 3.1 |
| 19 | <i>Eragrostis pilosa</i> (L.)P.Beauv. | Poaceae | 2 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | 2 | - | 50% | 0.8 | 1.6 |
| 20 | <i>Eragrostis tenella</i> (L.) Wight & Arm. ex Nees | Poaceae | 4 | - | - | - | 2 | 3 | - | 3 | - | 1 | 50% | 1.3 | 2.6 |
| 21 | <i>Eragrostis unioloides</i> (L.)Wolf | Poaceae | 6 | - | - | 3 | 4 | - | 5 | - | - | - | 40% | 1.8 | 4.5 |
| 22 | <i>Euphorbia hirta</i> Linn. | Euphorbiaceae | 1 | - | - | 2 | 11 | - | 2 | 5 | 5 | - | 60% | 2.6 | 4.3 |
| 23 | <i>Euphorbia maculata</i> Linn. | Euphorbiaceae | 7 | - | 6 | - | 3 | 4 | 2 | - | - | - | 50% | 2.2 | 4.4 |
| 24 | <i>Euphorbia prostrata</i> Linn. | Euphorbiaceae | 6 | 3 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 6 | - | 1 | 50% | 2.0 | 4.0 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|---|----------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|
| 25 | <i>Heteropagon contortus (L.)P.Beauv.</i> | Poaceae | - | - | - | 2 | 5 | 2 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 40% | 1.2 | 2.0 |
| 26 | <i>Hygrophyla spinosa Schum.</i> | Acanthaceae | 3 | 7 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 2 | 3 | - | 4 | 70% | 2.1 | 3.0 |
| 27 | <i>Hyptis suaveolens (L.)Poit.</i> | Laminaceae | 5 | 5 | - | - | 3 | 6 | - | - | 2 | 1 | 60% | 2.2 | 3.6 |
| 28 | <i>Kyllinga tricep Linn.</i> | Cyperaceae | 3 | - | - | 7 | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 30% | 1.1 | 3.6 |
| 29 | <i>Lagascea mollis Cav.</i> | Asteraceae | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 40% | 0.7 | 1.7 |
| 30 | <i>Microstegium vimineum (Trin.) A.Camus.</i> | Poaceae | 7 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 6 | - | - | 2 | 40% | 1.9 | 4.7 |
| 31 | <i>Oldenlandia corymbosa Linn.</i> | Rubiaceae | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | - | 2 | - | 5 | 4 | 50% | 1.6 | 3.2 |
| 32 | <i>Parthenium hysterophorus Linn.</i> | Asteraceae | 5 | 8 | - | - | 7 | - | 5 | - | 4 | 6 | 60% | 3.5 | 5.8 |
| 33 | <i>Penicum repens Linn.</i> | Poaceae | 6 | - | - | - | 3 | - | 2 | - | 3 | - | 40% | 1.4 | 3.5 |
| 34 | <i>Pennisetum setaceum (Forssk.)Chiov.</i> | Poaceae | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10% | 0.5 | 5.0 |
| 35 | <i>Phyllanthus niruri Linn.</i> | Phyllanthaceae | 6 | - | 7 | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | 2 | 40% | 1.9 | 4.7 |
| 36 | <i>Phyllanthus virgatus (G.Forst)</i> | Phyllanthus | 3 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | - | - | 5 | 3 | 50% | 1.4 | 2.8 |
| 37 | <i>Physalis minima Linn.</i> | Solanaceae | 5 | 4 | - | - | 8 | - | - | 2 | - | 1 | 50% | 2.0 | 4.0 |
| 38 | <i>Rungia pectinata (L.)Nees</i> | Acanthaceae | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | 4 | - | - | 30% | 0.7 | 2.3 |
| 39 | <i>Senecio vulgaris Linn.</i> | Asteraceae | 3 | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | 4 | - | 2 | - | 60% | 1.3 | 2.1 |
| 40 | <i>Sida acuta Burm.F.</i> | Malvaceae | 2 | - | - | 5 | - | - | 3 | 4 | - | - | 40% | 1.4 | 3.5 |
| 41 | <i>Sida cordata Burm.F.</i> | Poaceae | 1 | 2 | 3 | - | - | - | 6 | - | 2 | 1 | 60% | 1.5 | 2.5 |
| 42 | <i>Sida cordifolia Linn.</i> | Malvaceae | 1 | - | 3 | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | 2 | 40% | 1.1 | 2.7 |
| 43 | <i>Solanum virginianum Linn.</i> | Solanaceae | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | 3 | - | 6 | 30% | 1.3 | 4.3 |
| 44 | <i>Sonchus oleraceus Linn.</i> | Poaceae | - | - | - | - | 7 | 2 | - | - | - | - | 20% | 0.9 | 4.5 |
| 45 | <i>Sphaeranthus indicus Linn.</i> | Asteraceae | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | 3 | 4 | - | - | 5 | 50% | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| 46 | <i>Tephrosia purpurea (L.)Pers.</i> | Fabaceae | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 3 | - | 4 | 5 | 40% | 1.3 | 3.2 |
| 47 | <i>Themeda quadrivalvis (L.)Kuntze</i> | Poaceae | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | 3 | - | 2 | 30% | 1.1 | 3.6 |
| 48 | <i>Tridex procumbens Linn.</i> | Asteraceae | 1 | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | 3 | - | 5 | 6 | 60% | 2.1 | 3.5 |
| 49 | <i>Urena lobata Linn.</i> | Malvaceae | 2 | - | 4 | 3 | - | 1 | - | 5 | - | - | 50% | 1.5 | 3.0 |
| 50 | <i>Xanthium strumarium Linn.</i> | Asteraceae | - | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | 30% | 0.9 | 3.0 |

Table II: Including of relative frequency, relative density, relative abundance and IVI of the medicinal herbs.

| S.No. | Name of Species | Family | Relative Frequency | Relative Density | Relative Abundance | IVI |
|-------|---|---------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|-------|
| 1 | <i>Abutilon indicum Linn.</i> | Malvaceae | 1.32 | 0.31 | 0.56 | 2.19 |
| 2 | <i>Achyranthus aspera Linn.</i> | Amaranthaceae | 2.20 | 1.55 | 1.69 | 5.44 |
| 3 | <i>Ageratum conyzoides Linn.</i> | Asteraceae | 1.32 | 1.55 | 2.82 | 5.69 |
| 4 | <i>Alternanthera ficoidea (L.)P.Beauv.</i> | Amaranthaceae | 2.20 | 3.11 | 3.39 | 8.7 |
| 5 | <i>Argemone Maxicana Linn.</i> | Papaveraceae | 2.20 | 1.76 | 1.92 | 5.88 |
| 6 | <i>Blumea lacera Linn.</i> | Asteraceae | 2.20 | 2.18 | 2.37 | 6.75 |
| 7 | <i>Beorrhavia diffusa Linn.</i> | Nyctaginaceae | 1.32 | 1.35 | 2.43 | 5.1 |
| 8 | <i>Cassia tora Linn.</i> | Leguminosae | 1.32 | 0.41 | 0.73 | 2.46 |
| 9 | <i>Chenopodium album Linn.</i> | Amaranthaceae | 1.76 | 1.45 | 1.97 | 5.18 |
| 10 | <i>Chloris barbata(L.)Sw.</i> | Gramineae | 1.76 | 0.83 | 1.13 | 3.72 |
| 11 | <i>Croton bonplan dianum Linn.</i> | Euphorbiaceae | 1.76 | 0.51 | 0.67 | 2.94 |
| 12 | <i>Cynodon Dactylon (L.)Pers.</i> | Poaceae | 3.96 | 8.41 | 5.09 | 17.46 |
| 13 | <i>Dactyloctenium acgyptium Linn.</i> | Poaceae | 1.76 | 1.97 | 2.65 | 6.38 |
| 14 | <i>Desmodium trifolium (L.)Dc</i> | Fabaceae | 3.52 | 3.22 | 2.14 | 8.88 |
| 15 | <i>Digitoria sanguinalis (L.)Scop.</i> | Poaceae | 1.32 | 0.93 | 1.69 | 3.94 |
| 16 | <i>Echinochloa crus-galli (L.)Beauv.</i> | Poaceae | 1.76 | 1.66 | 2.26 | 5.68 |
| 17 | <i>Eleusin indica (L.)Gaert n.</i> | Poaceae | 2.64 | 1.97 | 1.75 | 6.36 |
| 18 | <i>Eragrostis amabilis (L.)Wight & Arm. ex Nees</i> | Poaceae | 3.08 | 2.28 | 1.75 | 7.11 |
| 19 | <i>Eragrostis pilosa (L.)P.Beauv.</i> | Poaceae | 2.20 | 0.83 | 0.90 | 3.93 |
| 20 | <i>Eragrostis tenella(L.) Wight & Arm. ex Nees</i> | Poaceae | 2.20 | 1.35 | 1.47 | 5.02 |
| 21 | <i>Eragrostis unioloides (L.)Wolf</i> | Poaceae | 1.76 | 1.87 | 2.54 | 6.17 |
| 22 | <i>Euphorbia hirta Linn.</i> | Euphorbiaceae | 2.64 | 2.70 | 2.43 | 7.77 |
| 23 | <i>Euphorbia maculata Linn.</i> | Euphorbiaceae | 2.20 | 2.28 | 2.48 | 6.96 |
| 24 | <i>Euphorbia prostrata Linn.</i> | Euphorbiaceae | 2.20 | 2.07 | 2.26 | 6.53 |
| 25 | <i>Heteropagon contortus (L.)P.Beauv.</i> | Poaceae | 1.76 | 1.24 | 1.69 | 4.69 |
| 26 | <i>Hygrophyla spinosa Schum.</i> | Acanthaceae | 3.08 | 2.18 | 1.69 | 6.95 |

| | | | | | | |
|----|---|----------------|------|------|------|------|
| 27 | <i>Hyptis suaveolens (L.)Poit.</i> | Laminaceae | 2.64 | 2.28 | 2.03 | 6.95 |
| 28 | <i>Kyllinga tricep Linn.</i> | Cyperaceae | 1.32 | 1.14 | 2.03 | 4.49 |
| 29 | <i>Lagascea mollis Cav.</i> | Asteraceae | 1.76 | 0.72 | 0.96 | 3.44 |
| 30 | <i>Microstegium vimineum (Trin.) A.Camus.</i> | Poaceae | 1.76 | 1.97 | 2.65 | 6.38 |
| 31 | <i>Oldenlandia corymbosa Linn.</i> | Rubiaceae | 2.20 | 1.66 | 1.80 | 5.66 |
| 32 | <i>Parthenium hysterophorus Linn.</i> | Asteraceae | 2.64 | 3.63 | 3.28 | 9.55 |
| 33 | <i>Penicum repens Linn.</i> | Poaceae | 1.76 | 1.45 | 1.97 | 5.18 |
| 34 | <i>Pennisetum setaceum (Forssk.) Chiov.</i> | Poaceae | 0.4 | 0.51 | 2.82 | 3.73 |
| 35 | <i>Phyllanthus niruri Linn.</i> | Phyllanthaceae | 1.76 | 1.97 | 2.65 | 6.38 |
| 36 | <i>Phyllanthus virgatus (G.Forst)</i> | Phyllanthus | 2.20 | 1.45 | 1.58 | 5.23 |
| 37 | <i>Physalis minima Linn.</i> | solanaceae | 2.20 | 2.07 | 2.26 | 6.53 |
| 38 | <i>Rungia pectinata (L.)Nees</i> | Acanthaceae | 1.32 | 0.72 | 1.30 | 3.34 |
| 39 | <i>Senecio vulgaris Linn.</i> | Asteraceae | 2.64 | 1.35 | 1.18 | 5.17 |
| 40 | <i>Sida acuta Burm.F.</i> | Malvaceae | 1.76 | 1.45 | 1.97 | 5.18 |
| 41 | <i>Sida cordata Burm.F.</i> | Poaceae | 2.64 | 1.55 | 1.41 | 5.06 |
| 42 | <i>Sida cordifolia Linn.</i> | Malvaceae | 1.76 | 1.14 | 1.52 | 4.42 |
| 43 | <i>Solanum virginianum Linn.</i> | solanaceae | 1.32 | 1.35 | 2.43 | 5.01 |
| 44 | <i>Sonchus oleraceus Linn.</i> | Poaceae | 0.8 | 0.93 | 2.54 | 4.27 |
| 45 | <i>Sphaeranthus indicus Linn.</i> | Asteraceae | 2.20 | 1.55 | 1.69 | 5.44 |
| 46 | <i>Tephrosia purpurea (L.)Pers.</i> | Fabaceae | 1.76 | 1.35 | 1.80 | 4.91 |
| 47 | <i>Themeda quadrivalvis (L.)Kuntze</i> | Poaceae | 1.32 | 1.14 | 2.03 | 4.49 |
| 48 | <i>Tridex-procumbens Linn.</i> | Asteraceae | 2.64 | 2.18 | 1.97 | 6.79 |
| 49 | <i>Urena lobata Linn.</i> | Malvaceae | 2.20 | 1.55 | 1.69 | 5.44 |
| 50 | <i>Xanthium strumarium Linn.</i> | Asteraceae | 1.32 | 0.93 | 1.69 | 3.94 |

CONCLUSION

The maximum and minimum frequency, Density, Abundance and relative frequency, relative density, relative abundance of the medicinal herb is *Cynodon dactylon* and *Abutilon indicum*. *Cynodon dactylon* having a maximum IVI 17.46 and *Abutilon indicum* having minimum IVI 2.19. According to the study of Quadrat sampling method.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Principal author acknowledge thanks to Prof. R. P. Dubey, Pro Vice Chancellor, Dr. C. V. Raman University, Kota, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh for providing the opportunity. Dr. Amit Sharma, Deptt. of Botany, Dr. C. V. Raman University, Kota, Bilaspur Chhattisgarh are gratefully acknowledged for their guidance and support.

REFERENCES

1. Amit Kumar Chatterjee 2014 study of Ethnomedicinal plants Among the tribal of Sarguja region(C.G.). ISSN(Print):2319-2526, Volume-3.
2. Anup Singh 2016. An Ethnobotanical study of medicinal plants in Bhiwani district of Haryana, India, Journal of medicinal studies, 2016; 4(2): 212-215.
3. Ayyanar M, Ignacimuthu S.2005. Traditional knowledge of kani tribals in kouthalai of Tirunelveli hills, Tamilnadu, India. Journal of ethnopharmacology, 102: 246-55.
4. Balakrishnan V, Ravidran KC, Robinson J.P. 2009. Ethnobotanical studies among villagers from Dharapuram Taluk, Tamilnadu, India. Global Journal of Phamacology, 3(1): 08-14.
5. Bhanwar Singh Hada and S.S. Katewa 2015. Ethnomedicinal plants used against various diseases in jhalwar district of Rajasthan, India. Journal of Global Biosciences ISSN2320-1355, 2015; 4(4): 2077-2086.
6. D.K.Patel 2012 Medicinal plants in G.G.V. campus, Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh in Central India Int. J. Med. Arom, Plants ISSN 2249 + 4340, 2(2): 292-300.
7. De. L.C. 2005 Medicinal herbs and flowers, Avishkar publishers, Jashpur, India.
8. D.U. Ahire 2009 Survey of some medicinal plants of Dindori Tahsil in Nasik District (MS) Env. and Cons., 2009; 15(2): 263-264.
9. Ignacimuthu S, Ayyanar M, Sivara man K 2006. Ethnobotanical investing ations among Tribes in Madurai District of Tamilnadu (India). Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine, 2: 25.

10. J.R.Mulay, Vijigiri Dinesh and P.P. Sharma 2012 study of some ethnoveterinary medicinal plants of Ahmednagar district of maharastra, India world Journal of science and technology, 2012; 2(6): 15-18.
11. Pullaiah, T. 2006 Encyclopedia of world Medicinal plants, Regency Publication, New Delhi.
12. Rakesh Kumar Kurrey 2015 Wide variety of medicinal plants and their uses in Jashpur District of Chhattisgarh IOSR Journal of environmental Science, Taxicology and food technology (IOSR - JESTFT) e-ISSIV; 2319-2402, p-ISSN; 2319-2399, Volume 1, Issue ! PP01-05.
13. Samant S.S. Dhar U. and Palni L.M.S.1997. Medicinal plants of Indian Himalaya; diversity distribution and potential Value, Nainital; Gyanodaya Prakashan.
14. Sandey, H. and Sharma, A., 2016. Study on ethnomedicinal plants of Achanakmar-Amarkantak Tiger reserve of Chhattisgarh. *J. Sci. Let*, 1(3): 216-222.
15. Seema Dr. A.K.Gupta Sunita 2012 Exploration of some medicinal plants used by native of district Hamirpur (H.P.) International Journal of Scientific and Research Publication Volume 2, ISSN 2250-3153.
16. Sharma Lata and Shihhare Usha 2014. Edible and Ethnomedicinal wild plants and products used in children diseases among tribal of Bilaspur District (C.G.).
17. Sharma, R.2003. Medicinal plants of India – Encyclopedia, Daya Publishing House, Delhi, India.
18. Trivedi, P.C. 2006 Medicinal plants; Ethnobotanical Approach, A grobios publication.