

EVALUATION OF ANTIFUNGAL ACTIVITY OF CLOTRIMAZOLE MICROSPHERES WITH DIFFERENT POLYMERS AGAINST CANDIDA ALBICANS

¹*Koteswara Rao Pachava, ²K. Kamalakanth Shenoy, ³Varanasi S. N. Murthy,
¹Lakshmi Kavitha Nadendla

¹*Senior Lecturer – Pachava KR; Department of Prosthodontics, Kamineni Institute of Dental Sciences, Narketpally, India.

²Professor and HOD – Shenoy KK; Department of Prosthodontics, Yenepoya Dental College, Mangalore, Karnataka India.

³Research Scholar – Murthy VSN; A.N.U college of Pharmaceutical Sciences; Acharya Nagarjuna University.

¹Reader – Nadendla LK; Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Kamineni Institute of Dental Sciences, Narketpally, India.

ABSTRACT

Background and Aim: Numerous controlled drug delivery systems have been used in management of fungal infections nowadays. One such approach is using microspheres as carrier for drugs. The aim of this study is to compare the antifungal activity of clotrimazole microspheres prepared with natural and synthetic polymers against candida albicans at different concentrations. **Materials and method:** Clotrimazole microspheres are prepared by using different polymers like hydroxy propyl methyl cellulose (HPMC), ethyl cellulose (EC), Poly lactic glycolic acid (50:50) (PLGA) and chitosan. Clotrimazole microspheres with all the polymers and clotrimazole pure powder were taken at different concentrations (1.5, 1, 0.5 % w/v) and tested against candida albicans by agar diffusion well punch method at 24 hours and 48 hours. **Results:** Clotrimazole pure powder (Cp) showed maximum

mean inhibitory diameter (MID) of 36 ± 1.74 mm at 1% w/v concentration at the end of 24 hours followed by clotrimazole microsphere with chitosan polymer (CMC) showed 20 ± 1.71 mm at 1% concentration. Microsphere forms prepared with other polymers like HPMC,

Article Received on
02 Dec 2015,

Revised on 23 Dec 2015,
Accepted on 12 Jan 2016

*Correspondence for Author

Koteswara Rao Pachava
Senior Lecturer – Pachava
KR; Department of
Prosthodontics, Kamineni
Institute of Dental
Sciences, Narketpally,
India.

EC and PLGA showed less MID compared to chitosan. After 48 hours MID of CMC was increased to 26.3 ± 1.13 mm, whereas the MID of Cp did not change after 48 hours.

Conclusion: Though the MID of CMC was less compared to Cp at 24 hours, it increased after 48 hours compared to Cp where there was no change, suggesting that the drug is diffusing slowly from the microsphere indicating that it can be used as controllable drug delivery system.

KEYWORDS: Microsphere, Polymers, Mean inhibitory diameter, Chitosan.

INTRODUCTION

A well designed sustained drug delivery system should address some of the problems associated with conventional therapeutic modalities. At the same time it should enhance the therapeutic efficacy of the given drug. Maximum therapeutic efficacy is obtained when the drug is delivered at the target site in optimum concentration with least amount of toxicity and minimal adverse effects. Numerous approaches are present to deliver the therapeutic drug to the target site in a controlled release fashion, one such promising approach is use of microspheres as carrier of drugs. Microspheres received a lot of attention not only for prolonged release of drug but also for targeting of anticancer drugs.^[1]

Microspheres are small spherical particles, with diameters in the micrometer range (typically $1\mu\text{m}$ to $1000\mu\text{m}$). Microspheres are sometimes referred to as micro particles.^[1-2] They can be prepared from various natural and synthetic polymers, in which the drug is dispersed throughout the microsphere matrix.^[3]

Candida infections receive increasing attention, presumably due to increased prevalence worldwide. The recognition that candida is an important pathogen has led to many laboratory studies.^[4] Oral candidiasis is one of the most common opportunistic fungal infections, most commonly present in immune compromised patients. Though other species of candida have been isolated, candida albicans remains the major pathogen of oral candidiasis.^[4, 5,6] with the rising frequency of fungal infections, as well as increase of resistance to antifungal agents, it is imperative that clinical applicable antifungal activity or susceptibility testing should be available.^[7] The present study is undertaken to evaluate the antifungal efficacy of clotrimazole microspheres prepared by using different polymers and compare the activity with pure clotrimazole against candida albicans microbiologically.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

The present microbiological study was done in Microbiology department of Kamineni Institute of Medical Sciences, Telangana state. Clotrimazole microspheres were prepared by modified wet granulation technique using different polymers like HPMC, EC, PLGA (50:50) and chitosan.

Microbiological study

Clotrimazole pure powder and clotrimazole microspheres with HPMC, EC, PLGA and Chitosan polymers were assayed for antifungal activity against candida albicans at various concentrations 0.5, 1, and 1.5%.

A sabouraud's dextrose agar medium was prepared and poured into a sterile plate. Standard American type culture collection (ATCC) candida albicans strain 24433 was collected and incubated in prepared sabouraud's dextrose agar medium and were stored at 4⁰c for sterility. After ensuring sterility, inoculum of the strain was prepared with peptone water and the turbidity of candida albicans inoculum was adjusted to 0.5 McFarland's standard.

A total of 225 wells with a diameter of 6mm and 4 mm depth were punched into the agar plates with a sterile punch. Each form of clotrimazole at each concentration consisted of five plates of agar media with three punches in a plate with 15 wells in each form. Among 225 wells, 45 wells were filled with clotrimazole pure powder (Cp) at 0.5, 1 and 1.5% w/v with 15 wells at each concentration. 45 wells were filled with clotrimazole microsphere with HPMC polymer (CMH) at 0.5, 1, 1.5% w/v with 15 wells at each concentration. Next 45 wells were filled with clotrimazole microsphere with Ethyl cellulose polymer (CME) at 0.5, 1, 1.5% w/v with 15 wells at each concentration. Another 45 wells were filled with clotrimazole microsphere with PLGA (50:50) polymer (CMPLG) at 0.5, 1, 1.5% w/v with 15 wells at each concentration. Remaining 45 wells were filled with clotrimazole microsphere with Chitosan polymer (CMC) at 0.5, 1, 1.5% w/v with 15 wells at each concentration.

Plates were incubated at 37⁰c and mean inhibitory zone (MIZ) was measured in millimeters (mm) after 24 and 48 hours using a transparent ruler.

Results obtained in this study were calculated by using one way ANOVA and post HOC tests for comparing among all the five forms of clotrimazole.

RESULTS

Cp at 1% w/v has shown the maximum mean inhibitory diameter of 36 ± 1.74 mm (mean \pm SD) at 24 hours compared to all other forms of clotrimazole followed by CMC with 20 ± 1.71 mm, CME with 17 ± 1.90 mm, CMH with 13 ± 1.61 mm, CMPLG 11 ± 1.24 mm. At 48 hours Cp maintained almost the same MID whereas CMC MID has shown statistically significant increase to 26.1 ± 3.27 mm compared to pure and other microsphere forms, CME with 20.7 ± 1.75 mm, CMH with 14 ± 1.61 mm, CMPLG with 13 ± 1.61 mm (Table 1).

1% concentration of clotrimazole was found to be more efficient in inhibiting *C. albicans* compared to 0.5 and 1.5% concentration in both pure and microsphere forms.

Table 1: showing the (mean \pm S.D) MID of pure and microsphere forms of clotrimazole with different polymers in mm at 24 and 48 hours.

	24 hours			48 hours		
	0.5%	1%	1.5%	0.5%	1%	1.5%
Cp	27.2 ± 2.40	36 ± 1.74	36.7 ± 1.78	27.2 ± 2.40	36 ± 1.74	36.7 ± 1.78
CMH	7.36 ± 2.27	13 ± 1.61	13.8 ± 1.38	8.16 ± 2.06	14 ± 1.61	14 ± 1.54
CME	11.1 ± 1.46	17 ± 1.90	17.3 ± 2.28	11.5 ± 1.66	20.7 ± 1.75	20.8 ± 2.56
CMPL	7 ± 0.98	11 ± 1.24	11.7 ± 1.31	8.04 ± 1.61	13 ± 1.61	13.3 ± 1.93
CMC	6 ± 1.30	20 ± 1.71	20.7 ± 1.75	6.24 ± 1.55	26.1 ± 3.27	26.3 ± 3.43

MID – Mean inhibitory diameter; Cp – pure clotrimazole powder, CMH – clotrimazole microsphere with HPMC polymer, CME- clotrimazole microsphere with Ethyl cellulose; CMPL - clotrimazole microsphere with poly lactic glycolic acid polymer; CMC - clotrimazole microsphere with Chitosan polymer

DISCUSSION

In the past few decades, a worldwide increase in the incidence of fungal infections has been observed. The majority of clinically used antifungals have various drawbacks in terms of toxicity, efficacy and their frequent use has led to the emergence of resistant strains.^[7] The challenge has been to develop other strategies for the treatment of candidiasis, and other fungal diseases considering the increase in opportunistic fungal infections.^[8] There are many drugs for the treatment of fungal diseases; however there are a limited number of efficacious antifungal drugs.^[9] Development of controlled drug delivery system is necessary to overcome such problems.

Clotrimazole is a synthetic imidazole having a broad spectrum of fungicidal activity, being effective against both dermatophytes and yeast like fungi.^[10] has a very stable chemical

structure and is not inactivated by heat, light or acid and is not water soluble.^[11] The present study prepared clotrimazole microspheres using different polymers for sustained drug delivery and tested the anti-candida activity of microspheres at different concentrations of pure and microsphere forms of clotrimazole.

This study results suggested that Cp showed maximum MID at 1% concentration, compared to CMH, CME, CMPL, and CMC at 24 hours. Among microspheres with different polymers CMC showed maximum MID at 1% concentration at 24 hours.

At 48 hours, the MID of microsphere forms with all polymers increased compared to pure form, where there was no increase in MID at all concentrations (0.5, 1, 1.5%). Among microspheres, CMC exhibited maximum mean MID of 26.1 ± 3.27 mm at 1% concentration suggesting that 1% clotrimazole is effective in both pure and microsphere forms. The increase in MID of microsphere forms at 48 hours compared to pure form suggests that the drug is leaching slowly from the microsphere.

Clotrimazole at 1.5% concentration in both pure and microsphere forms showed very negligible increase in MID compared to 1% and it was statistically not significant. This is similar with the study of Pingo *et al*^[12] who demonstrated that clotrimazole at 1% concentration by weight was effective in inhibiting the fungal growth. Increasing the concentration of the clotrimazole did not increase the degree of fungal inhibition.

In conclusion, clotrimazole microsphere with chitosan polymer exhibited better antifungal activity compared to other polymer made microspheres. Though pure form is exhibiting more antifungal activity at 24 hours, at the end of 48 hours microsphere showed increase in antifungal activity almost similar to pure form, suggesting that the drug is released slowly from the microsphere form and it can be used as sustained drug release system.

Conflicts of interest: Nil

REFERENCES

1. Khan S, Tiwari T, Rao N, Joshi A, Dubey BK. Microspheres : A review. World Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacuetical Sciences. 2012; 1(1): 125-145.
2. Shagufta Khan, Tripti Tiwari, Neha Rao, Amit Joshi, Bal Krishna Dubey Kataria Sahil, Middha Akanksha, Sandhu Premjeet, Ajay Bilandi and Bhawana Kapoor. Microsphere: A

- review. International Journal of Research in Pharmacy and Chemistry 2011; 1(4): 1184-1198.
3. Prashant Singh, Dev Prakash, B Ramesh, Neha Singh, T Tamizh Mani. Biodegradable polymeric microspheres as drug carriers; A Review. Indian Journal of Novel Drug Delivery 2011; 3(2): 70-82.
 4. Tatiana Pereira – Cenci, Altair Antoninha Delbelcury, Wim Crielaard, Jacob Martein Tencate. Development of candida – associated denture stomatitis; New insights. J Appl Oral Sci., 2008; 16(2): 86-94.
 5. Fouzia Khan, Rakhshanda Baqoi. Invitro antifungal activity of fluconazole, clotrimazole, Nystatin against vaginal candidiasis in females of child bearing age. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad, 2010; 22(4): 197-200.
 6. Supreetha S, Sharada devi Mannur, Sequeira Peter Simon, Jithesh Jain, Shreyas Tikane, Amit Mahuli. Antifungal activity of ginger extract on *candida albicans*. An in vitro study. Journal of Dental Sciences and Research, 2011; 2(2): 1-5.
 7. Hoffman HL, Pfaller MA. In vitro antifungal susceptibility testing. Pharmacotherapy, 2001; 21: 1115-235.
 8. Abad M, Ansuategui M, Bermejo P. Active antifungal substances from natural sources. Arkivoc, 2007; (Vii): 116-145.
 9. White T. Mark, Bowden R. Clinical, cellular and molecular factors that contribute to antifungal drug resistance. Clin Microbiol Rev., 1998; 11: 382-02.
 10. Olivia Dorneanu, Iuliana Popovici, Lucian Boiculese, Irina Popovici, Daniela Bosnea. Influence of clotrimazole pharmaceuticals of antifungal activity. The Journal of Preventive Medicine, 2003; 11(1): 41-46.
 11. Vojdani M, Zibaei M, Khaledi AAR, Zomorodian K, Ranjbar MA, Boshehri S. in vitro study of the effect of clotrimazole incorporation into silicone soft liner on fungal colonization. Shiraz Univ Dent J, 2009; 9(1): 19-23.
 12. Pigno MA, Gold Schmidt MC, Lemon JC. The efficacy of antifungal agents incorporated into a facial prosthetic silicone elastomer. J Prosthet Dent., 1994; 71: 295-300.