

Group vi, vii, viii – Received
50,100,150 mg/kg bark extract of *A. indica*

Group ix, x, xi – Received
50,100,150 mg/kg bark extract of *A. indica*

The drugs and extracts were administered intraperitoneally.

Anti-inflammatory activity

Edema was produced by the method described by Winter et al (7). The paw volume was measured 0 hr, 1hr, 2hr after the injection of carrageenan (0.1 ml of 1% solution injected in the subplantar region). The apparatus used for the measurement of rat paw volume was that of Buttle et al 1996 modified by Singh and Gosh (8). This method is able to detect a minimal change of paw volume of 0.02 ml. Drug pretreatment was given 1 hr before the injection of carrageenan. The values are shown in Table 1.

Antimicrobial activity

Antimicrobial activity of the root, bark and leaves of *A. indica* have been evaluated. *Escherichia coli* of the Gram negative group and *Styphyllo aureus* of the gram positive group were chosen as test organisms.

Serial dilution technique

A nutrient broth medium of neutral pH containing peptone 1% (w/v), yeast extract 0.5% (w/v) was prepared in distilled water and sterilized by autoclaving for about 30 min (9). A standard volume (8ml) of nutrient broth medium that would support the growth of the test organisms was added to several labeled, sterile, stoppered and identical assay tubes. Solutions of each test compound at three different concentrations viz 50, 100 and 200 µg/ml and a control containing no drug were also prepared. One

loopful of the inoculum (of suitable dilution) of over night broth culture of the test organism was added. All these experimental manipulations were carried out under absolute aseptic conditions, the assay tubes were then incubated at $37 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$ for 48 hrs and the resultant turbidities were measured with Nepheloturbidity meter. The percentage of bacterial growth inhibition produced by a particular growth inhibition produced by a particular concentration of the test compound was calculated from the measure of the turbidity of the control and the turbidity of the specific treatment by employing the following relationship

$$\% \text{Inhibition} = \frac{T_c - T_t}{T_c}$$

Where T_c is the turbidity of the control and T_t is the turbidity after the treatment. The results are listed in Table 2

Statistical analysis

The results were analysed by Analysis of variance (10). The significance of the differences between groups was determined by their P- values calculated by students' 't' test. Ten values are considered as significantly different from each other only when $P < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the effect of drug treatment on carrageenan induced rat paw edema. Edema suppressant effect of root, bark and leaves extract of *A. indica* was calculated which is lesser than that of standard drug ketorolac tromethamine (10 mg/kg). Though the extract showed dose response inhibition of inflammation, it was not significant among all test dose levels. As can be seen from Table 2 the extract of root, bark and leaves of *A. indica* are more active against

Gram negative organism than the Gram positive organism.

DISCUSSION

Carrageenan induced rat paw edema was taken as a prototype of exudative phase of inflammation. The development of edema has been described as biphasic (11). The initial phase is attributable to the release of histamine, serotonin and kinin in the first hour after injection of carrageenan. A more pronounced second phase is related to the release of prostaglandin like substances in 1-2 hours. As far as antimicrobial activity is concern, the selective bactericidal activity of compounds may be attributed among others to the permeability of the dug trough the thick cell wall of gram negative organisms. The walls of gram positive organisms are thin when compared to that of gram negative organisms.

The anti-inflammatory effect of *A. indica* seems to be related to its histamine, kinin and prostaglandin inhibitory activity (12). Among these root possessed profound activity. The very interesting feature observed in antimicrobial activity was, streptomycin is used as a standard which has gram positive activity, but the extracts have more potent bactericidal activity than streptomycin.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Dr. S. Krishnamoorthy, head of the department and the principal, regional engineering college, Tiruchirappalli for their keen interest and constant encouragement. The financial support from the AICTE Delhi is also gratefully acknowledged.

Table 1
Effect of Extracts on carrageenan induced rat paw Edema

Group	Dose mg/kg BW	0hr		+1hr		+2hr	
		Edema Volume (ml)	% of AIA	Edema Volume (ml)	% of AIA	Edema Volume (ml)	% of AIA
Control	-	0.55	-	0.55	-	0.56	-
	50	0.53 (± 0.004)	3.6	0.53 (± 0.002)	3.6	0.53 (± 0.005)	5.3
Bark	100	0.51 (± 0.002)	7.2	0.50 (± 0.002)	9.0	0.50 (± 0.002)	10.7
	150	0.47 (± 0.002)	15.0	0.48 (± 0.004)	13.0	0.46 (± 0.002)	18.0
	50	0.53 (± 0.001)	3.6	0.47 (± 0.004)	13.0	0.45 (± 0.002)	20.0
Root	100	0.52 (± 0.003)	5.4	0.47 (± 0.005)	13.0	0.43 (± 0.004)	23.2
	150	0.50	9.0	0.42	23.6	0.42	25.0

	50	(± 0.003) 0.53 (± 0.003)	3.6	(± 0.003) 0.49 (± 0.004)	11.0	(± 0.004) 0.48 (± 0.002)	14.2
Leaves	100	0.52 (± 0.002)	5.4	0.45 (± 0.002)	18.1	0.46 (± 0.001)	18.0
	150	0.51 (± 0.004)	7.2	0.44 (± 0.004)	20.0	0.42 (± 0.003)	25.0
Ketorolac tromethamine	10	0.49 (± 0.004)	11.0	0.38 (± 0.002)	31.0	0.38 (± 0.001)	32.1

Values in parentheses represent the mean ± SEM of 6 animals

Table 2
Effect of Extracts on the growth of Bacteria

Drug	Concentration (µg/ml)	% Inhibition	
		E. coli	S. aureus
Bark	50	20	22
	100	28	26
	150	35	37
Root	50	22	20
	100	27	22
	150	37	24
Leaves	50	23	30
	100	30	19
	150	37	19
Streptomycin	50	13	17
	100	22	22
	150	23	40

References

1. Desphande, V.Y. Mendhukkar, K.N. and Sadre, N.L. J. Postgraduate medicine, 26,167, (1980)
2. Dixit, V.P. Sinha, R. and Tank, R, J. Ethanopharmacol., 17, 95, (1986).
3. El-Hawary, .M. and Kholief, T.S. Archieves Pharmacol. Res., 13, 108, (1990).
4. Tandon, S.K., Chandra, S.Gupta, S. Tripathi, H.C. and Lal, J. Fitoterapia., 61, 75, (1990).

5. Vandernat, J.M, Klerz, J.P.A.M. Van Dijk, H, Desilva K.T.D. and Labadie, R.P.J. *Ethanopharmacology.*, 19, 125 (1987).
6. Prakash, A.O, Mishra, A. Mehta, H. and mathur, R, *Fitoterapia.*, 62, 99, (1991).
7. Winter, C.A, Risely E.A. and Nuss, G.N, *Proc. Soc Exp Biol.*, 51, 1054, (1988).
8. Singh, H and Gosh, M.N, *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.*, 20, 3316, (1968).
9. Gould, J.C, *Br. Med Bull.*, 16, 29, (1968).
10. Amitage, P, *Statistical methods in medical research*, Blackwell scientific publication 217, (1971).
11. Vinegar, R, Trauax, J.F. and Selph, S.C. *Fed Proc.*, 21, (1971).
12. Diroce, M. and Willough, B.A, *J, Pharm, Pharmacol.*, 23, 267, (1971).