J. BIDDULPH'S SARIKOLI SENTENCES

ву

GEORG MORGENSTIERNE

Our only early sources for Sarikoli, apart from Shaw's admirable "Ghalchah languages", are the vocabularies published by H. W. Bellew and J. Biddulph in Forsyth's "Report of a mission to Yarkund 1873" (Calcutta, 1876). Bellew's "Vocabulary of Sárigh Cúlí, etc." is the larger one (nearly 900 words), and, although not, by far, up to the high standard of Shaw's, phonetically the better one. Biddulph's "Vocabulary of Sirikol, Wakhan and Kunjoot dialects" contains some 300 words, and the phonetical rendering of Sar. words is primitive and sketchy.

In a number of cases he hopes to hit the target by shooting off two, or even three arrows.

Thus, cheem, sem "eye" (cem)¹; khishpick, shpeek "bread" (ἄ(i)pik); vist, zeest, thust "hand" (δωst); ol, ghowl "ear" (γεωl); kushyood, shivd "milk" (ἄevd); tussoor, nashowr "nail" (*našεωr); khesr, schatz "water" (ἄac); zeddun (!), zoon "knee" (zun); peza, peyr "foot" (peδ). Less easy to explain as true Sar. forms are yur "fire", poora "son", beside yoots (yuc), putz (puc).

Bellew also gives twenty short sentences, apart from the LSI ones the only examples so far published of colloquial Sar. It may therefore be worth while to reprint them as an appendix to T. N. Pakhalina's article, Colloquial Texts in Sarikoli, together with a tentative phonemic interpretation, and, when necessary, modifications of Biddulph's translation.

The interpretation is based on Pakhalina's "The relationship

Acc, to Pakhalina, or phonematized from Shaw,

between Sarikoli dialect and other dialects of Shughni-Roshani group' and on Shaw's Vocabulary. I have also had an opportunity to look at the manuscript of Pakhalina's article, pp. 61–70 above. As will be seen, a few points remain unexplained.

- 1. ata dayum I will strike him [a-ta deyam I (will) strike you].
- 2. owd yoth come here $[\varepsilon wd\ yo\delta]$.
- 3. tedth go away [te3].2
- 4. cha is kan? what do you want? (ceyz kan? what will you do?].3
- 5. nutch kanam I am not able to do this [na...kanam].4
- 6. ta noom saiz? what is your name? [ta num ceyz?].
- 7. uz kot yot? whence do [did] you come? [az ko-t yot?].
- 8. koj usoh? where are you going? [koja so?].5
- 9. Isund sula yetsoot? how old are you? [cund sul...-t sut? how many years...for you became?]6
- 10. yoo choi? who is that (man)? [yw čoy?]
- 11. noor joorm it is a hot day [nur žurm to-day it is hot].
- 12. yum voorch taiya noh? is this your horse? [yam vurj ta/ɛyan-o?].
- 13. yumoo küd this is my dog [yam mu kud].
- 14. tchoond kiid yost der dyor? how many dogs are there in the village? [cund kiid yost tar dyur?].?
- 15. shevd meery var bring me some milk [xevd mu-ri vor].
- awi khalk yothd awi weinam I see a man coming this way
 [a-wi xalg yoδd, a-wi weynam that⁸ man is coming, I see him].

² With $dth = d\delta$ for dz (3),

³ Bidd. Voc. chais, Shaw Isèiz what.

⁴ I am unable to explain tch (= \check{c} , or c). But cf. $nu\check{c}$ 'only, just', Pakhalina, sentence 108.

⁵ Shaw ká-jái where? But cf. Rosh. kāje.

⁶ If this interpretation of -tsoot is correct, I am unable to explain -a ye-.

iar is no doubt the preposition to be expected here. Tājikt dar is sometimes used in poetry (Pakhalina: Obracy sarykol'skoj narodnoj poėzii (in Kratkie soobščenija Instituta Narodov Azii, 67, Iranskaja filologija) p. 47, I 36, p. 50 III 10).
— Dyôr (Bidd. Voc. dyoor, Shaw. diâr) is possibly to be read diyur.

⁸ Acc. a-wi for nom yu, through contamination of the two sentences. (Or awi might be an error for $awdi = \varepsilon wd$ (awd) i 'here one'?).

- 17. oche voorch su voor ka he is riding on a horse [yы či vurj suuvúr . . .]⁹.
- 18. moo az ched yutch izooft tooit my house is on fire [mu az čed yuc i zužt tuyd (?) from my house fire it caught (and) went (?)]¹⁰.
- 19. Varsheedee tsund thar? how far is it to Tashkurgan? [Varšidé¹¹ cund δar?].
- 20. nawuz anum I do not know [na wazonam].

⁹ Possibly for suwuri ka(xt).

The construction is not clear to me. In Shaw's Texts we find I, 21 inddud tüid rose up (and) went; I, 34 rawán süt tüid he started (and) went; II, 17 det tüid drove (and) went. But none of these constructions are parallel with the present one. – Regarding (i)zooft = zužt, cf. Bidd. Voc. wohft "8" = wožt; woohf, dokh "grass" = wuž. But zōz-: zužt 'to take' is perhaps not the verb we might expect in this connexion.

¹¹ Thus Pakhalina, this volume p. 62.